

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,548	9/816,548 03/26/2001		Hiroyuki Ohmori	SON-2055	9511
23353	7590	09/05/2003			
		& GRAUER PLL	EXAMINER		
	STREET N	.W., SUITE 501	UHLIR, NIKOLAS J		
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1773	エ
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A I' M	A ST	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)  OHMORI, HIROYUKI	
Advisory Action	09/816,548		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Nikolas J. Uhlir	1773	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of the control of timely filed amendrous peal (with appeal fee); or seen the control of the	his application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in	
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or	<sup>-</sup> b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter	r than SIX MONTHS from the ma AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT date on which the petition under tension and the corresponding an	iling date of the final rejection.  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under	
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	months after the mailing date of t	he final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appea	Il by materially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s): <u>none</u> .		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	uld be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly	
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow			
Claim(s) allowed: none			
Claim(s) objected to: none.		4	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>17-18</u> .			
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	☐ disapproved by the Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	•		
10. ☐ Other:		. 110(0). <u>u</u> .	
ouer			
•			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would enter into claim 1 limitations requiring the non-magnetic metal ground layer to contain a plurality of Ru layers that each contain greater than or equalt to 20 atomic % Ru. This is a new issue that was not earlier presented a requires further search and/or consideration to determine patentability. It is noted that the applicant attempted to enter these identical limitations in a prior response, however these limitations were not entered for the reasons set forth in paper #4

Paul Thibodeau Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700